WHAT WORKS GLOBAL SUMMIT | OCTOBER 2019 | MEXICO CITY

### FROM MOTHER TONGUE TO OTHER TONGUE: EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS ON LANGUAGE IN EDUCATION



MAKING RESEARCH RELEVANT

Pooja Nakamura | Zelalem Leyew | Thomas de Hoop | Adria Molotsky



AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | AIR.ORG

### **Research Problem**

- Global learning crisis (WDR, 2018)
- Role of language in the learning crisis
  - Language inextricably linked to basic literacy, numeracy, SEL skills, and later academic success (Ball, 2011)
  - Language of Instruction (LOI) policies are made arbitrarily and priorities keep shifting (Alidou et al., 2006)
  - Evidence for benefits to teaching in the familiar language first (August & Shanahan, 2006; Ball, 2011)
  - Also, evidence for linkage between post-colonial lanaguge and socioeconomic mobility (Azam, Chin, Prakash, 2013)

## How we propose to address the problem

- Conduct a systematic review of the role of LOI policies on literacy outcomes in multilingual contexts
- Examine role of language transition, language match/mismatch, and simultaneous instruction in more than one language on literacy outcomes
- Mixed methods and multi-disciplinary approach
- Target audience: decision makers and researchers to understand and effectively use the evidence, with a specific focus on Ethiopia

#### **Research Context**

- Children across LMIC's learn in multilingual contexts
- Children cannot learn to read with comprehension in a language they do not understand well; although they may acquire some skills
  - Second language reading and learning is qualitatively different from first language reading and learning – and thus, monolingual models may not be applicable
  - Dual language impact and transfer (thresholds)

# Research to Policy Uptake

- Key component clusters of research to policy uptake (Brown et al., 2018; Castello, 2017):
  - Deep understanding of science and policy landscape, focus on Ethiopia (but across LMICs)
  - Communicating scientific findings through variety of audience-tailored means

### **Research Questions**

- 1. What is the quality of the available evidence on the role of LOI policies on literacy outcomes?
- 2. What are the short- and long-term impacts of LOI policy on literacy outcomes in the MT/local language and on later acquired language?
- 3. What is the role of the family and community in determining LOI policy?
- 4. Is there a cognitive or linguistic threshold point at which a child is ready to transfer his or her reading skills from one language to another? And if so, what is the threshold?
- 5. What are the evidence gaps about implementing successful LOI policies in bilingual and multilingual educational contexts in LMICs

# Results from Ethiopia: Afaan Oromo-English



Number of observations	829		
Estimated break in decoding MT	30		
Null hypothesis (Ho)	No structural break		
Test statistic (supremum Wald)	306.2		
p-value	0.00		

# Results from Ethiopia: Amharic-English



Number of observations	1,063		
Estimated break in decoding MT	49		
Null hypothesis (Ho)	No structural break		
Test statistic (supremum Wald)	293.7		
p-value	0.00		

## **Research method**

- Inclusion criteria (PICO):
  - Official LOI transition policy in grades 1-6
  - Literacy outcomes (pre-literacy skills to grade 6 appropriate reading comprehension skills)
  - Studies made available between 2009 and 2019

## **Proposed Analysis**

- Narrative evidence synthesis through a "learning science" lens
- Meta-analysis
  - If possible, individual person meta-analysis
  - If possible, sub-group analysis

#### Innovative approaches

- Different kinds of evidence that apply different fields of research
  - Example, applying "learning science" lens to impact results
  - Example, testing for non-linearity of relationships between local lanaguge and postcolonial language reading to make policy recommendations LOI transition timings
  - Focusing on a topic that is central to learning, but rarely a focus of the study

#### THOMAS DE HOOP

PRINCIPAL ECONOMIC RESEARCHER

202.403.5803

TDEHOOP@AIR.ORG

M A K I N G R E S E A R C H R E L E V A N T

#### THANK YOU

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | AIR.ORG



Copyright © 20XX American Institutes for Research. All rights reserved.

# Results from India Is there a threshold for L1- L2 transfer?



# **Results from India:** L1-L2 Threshold regressions

	Round 1 Score, English, Below Threshold	Round 1 Score, English, Above Threshold	Round 3 Score, English, Below Threshold	Round 3 Score, English, Above Threshold
Local Language Decoding Score, Round 1	0.138**	0.733***		
	(0.0450)	(0.147)		
Local Language Decoding			-0.00688	0.847***
Score, Round 3			(0.0382)	(0.135)
Constant	0.298**	0.00555	-0.0356	-0.205
	(0.0934)	(0.311)	(0.0709)	(0.230)
Control Variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	174	162	181	240
R <sup>2</sup>	0.357	0.595	0.376	0.575
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.278	0.540	0.302	0.539

*Note.* Standard errors are presented in parentheses. Observations are below threshold if the local language score is < 0.6. Controlled for age, gender, school, grade, urbanacity, and state.

\**p* < .05. \*\**p* < .01. \*\*\**p* < .001.

#### **Results – Grade levels and Thresholds**

